

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL
ENVIRONMENT
Directorate B - Protecting the Natural Environment
ENV.B.4 - Biotechnology & Pesticides

Brussels, 23/11/04. ENV.B.4 D(04) 342122

Mr. N. Van der Graaff
Interim Secretariat for the
Rotterdam
Convention, Plant Protection
Service
Plant Production and Protection
Division, FAO
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla
IT- 00100 Rome

Dear Mr Van der Graaff,

In line with Article 5 of the Rotterdam Convention, I am pleased to send you herewith European Community notifications concerning final regulatory actions relating to amitraz and atrazine respectively.

Yours sincerely,

Klaus BEREND

Mr Willis, UNEP

Cc.



Secretariat for the Rotterdam Convention on the Prior Informed Consent Procedure for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade



FORM FOR NOTIFICATION OF FINAL REGULATORY ACTION TO BAN OR SEVERELY RESTRICT A CHEMICAL

IMPORTANT: See instructions before filling in the form

COUNTRY: EUROPEAN COMMUNITY

(Member States: Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, The Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden and United Kingdom)

PART I: PROPERTIES, IDENTIFICATION AND USES

1.	IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL	
1.1	Common name	Amitraz
1.2	Chemical name according to an internationally recognized nomenclature (e.g. IUPAC), where such nomenclature exists	IUPAC: N-methylbis(2,4-xylyliminomethyl)amine CA: N'-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-N-[[(2,4-dimethylphenyl)imino]methyl]-N- methylmethaniminamide
13	Trade names and names of preparations	Formulation types: emulsifiable concentrate (EC), oil dispersible powder (OP), wettable powder (WP). Selected trade names: Akaroff, Byebye, Burnetran, Cekutraz, Edrizar, Mitac, Narval, Ovasyn, Parsec, Rotraz, Sender, Taktic, Trazam, Vapcozin.
1.4	Code numbers	
1.4.1	CAS number	33089-61-1
1.4.2	Harmonized System customs code	
1.4.3	Offier numbers (specify the numbering system)	EINECS: 251-375-4 CIPAC: 362 RTECS: ZF0480000 EC: 612-086-00-2

PLEASE RETURN THE COMPLETED FORM TO:

Secretariat for the Rotterdam Convention Plant Protection Service Plant Production and Protection Division, FAO Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00100 Rome, Italy

Tel: (+39 06) 5705 3441 Fax: (+39 06) 5705 6347 E-mail: pic@fao.org OR

Secretariat for the Rotterdam Convention UNEP Chemicals

11-13, Chemin des Anémones CH – 1219 Châtelaine, Geneva, Switzerland

> Tel: (+41 22) 917 8183 Fax: (+41 22) 797 3460 E-mail: pic@unep.ch

TINEP/FA	PIC/FORM/1/E/5-04) Form - Notification of final regulatory action to ban or severely restrict a chemical – page 2
1.5	ndication regarding previous notification on this chemical, if any
1.5.1	This is a first time notification of final regulatory action on this chemical.
1.5.2	This is a modification of a previous notification of final regulatory action on this chemical.
	The sections modified are:
	This notification replaces all previously submitted notifications on this chemical.
	Octo of ingue of the previous notification:

.6 Information on hazard classification where the chemical is subject to classification requirements			
Hazard class			
: 3 			
the environment) 50/53 (harmful if swallowed; may on by skin contact; harmful: danger te to health by prolonged exposure if toxic to aquatic organisms, may adverse effects in the aquatic			
Hazard class			
_			

Use or uses of the chemical 1.7 1.7.1 **✓** Pesticide Describe the uses of the chemical as a pesticide in your country: Amitraz containing plant protection products are used as selective insecticides and broad spectrum acaricides, amongst others, on pome fruits (apples and pears) and hops. Uses within the European Community included plant protection uses in apples, pears, stone fruits, strawberries, tomatoes, aubergines, peppers, hops, ornamentals, empty glasshouses, tree nurseries and public green in northern Europe and citrus fruits, apples, pears, stone fruits, bananas, grapes, strawberries, tomatoes, aubergines, peppers, cucurbits, cotton and ornamentals in Southern Europe, respectively. 1.7.2 θ Industrial Describe the industrial uses of the chemical in your country: Animal Health Uses: Amitraz is also used on mammalian domestic pets where it controls ticks, mites, lice and other animal

1.8	Properties	
1.8.1	Description of physico-chemical properties of th	e chemical
	Minimum purity	970 g/kg (>99.4%)
	FAO specification	-
	Molecular Formula	$C_{19}H_{23}N_3$
	Molecular Mass	293.4
	Structural Formula	CH ₃
		CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃
	Appearance Melting point	White/pale yellow crystalline solid 86-88°C
	Boiling point / decomposition	Not relevant. Decomposes with heat.
	Vapour pressure	0.34 mPa at 25°C
	Henry's law constant	1.0 Pa m³mo1 ⁻¹
	Solubility in water	
	•	pH 6.5 9.4 x 10 ⁻⁵ g/l at 25°C pH 7.74-7.82 1.03 x 10 ⁻⁴ g/L at 25°C
		pH 10.8 0.22 x 10 ⁻³ g/L at 27°C
	Solubility in organic solvents	Amitraz purity 99.4% -At 25°C (g/L)
ta Tabasa		Acetone 300-600
		Acetonitrile 60-75
		Dichloromethane >600
		Dimethylsulfoxide 120-150
		Ethanol 35.1
	•	Ethylacetate 300-600
		Hexane 21-25
1979 S. 1860		Methanol 20.1
Mary Indian		Isopropanol 21.5
	·	Toluene 300-600
		<u>-At 20°C</u>
		Acetone 315
		Dichloromethane 505
		Ethylacetate 258
en 13 republika		n-Heptane 36.6
		Isopropanol 18.2
		o-xylene 318
	Density	1.128 at 20°C
	Dissociation constant (pka)	4.2±0.1 at 20°C
efilik pers Transporter	Partition coefficient (log Pow)	pH log P _{ow} Temp (°C)
		9 5.6 40°C
		7 5.6 40°C
		5.8: 5.5 25°C
		6.14 5.96 22°C
		5.51 5.98 22°C
		5.29 6.00 22°C
1.7		5.25 6.01 22°C

Form - Notification of final regulatory action to ban or severely restrict a chemical - page 4 (UNEP/FAO/PIC/FORM/1/E/5-04) DT_{50} (hrs) Temp (°C) Ηg Hydrolytic stability (DT50) 22 4.99 0.97 22 15.5 7.05 22 9.2 32 25 2 5 25 7 22 26 25 9

Photostability(DT₅₀)

-At pH 7.13, 28°C 11.8h hrs (light) 15.9hrs (dark) 46.5 hrs (corrected for hydrolysis)

1.8.2 Description of toxicological properties of the chemical

Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism in mammals:

Amitraz is readily absorbed via the gastrointestinal tract in rats, mice, dogs, baboons and humans. It is rapidly excreted via the urine (82% after 72 hrs in man) and to a lesser extent via the faeces. Amitraz is less readily absorbed via the skin with up to 1 % as concentrate and 2% as spray dilution based on in vivo rat study and comparative in vitro hman skin/rat skin.

Acute toxicity:

LD50 (rat, oral) approx. 600 mg/kg bw

LD50 (mouse oral) >1600 mg/kg bw LD50 (rat, dermal) >1600 mg/kg bw

LC50 (rat, inhalation) approx. 65 mg/L air (6 hrs)

Skin and eye irritation:

Not irritating

Sensitisaton:

Sensitising (according to OECD Guideline 406)

Short term toxicity:

The respiratory, circulatory, digestive and nervous systems were affected by exposure to Amitraz, with clear evidence of CNS effects. Additionally, signs of liver toxicity were seen with oral exposures.

- -Rat (oral gavage, 90 day): NOAEL 3 mg/kg bw, LOAEL, 12 mg/kg bw (minimal growth, irritability and excitability).
- Dog (oral capsule, 90 day): LOAEL = 0.25 mg/kg bw (increased blood sugar)
- Rabbit (dermal, 21 day): LOAEL = 50 mg/kg bw (sedation, body weight loss)
- Rat (inhalation, 21 day): NOEC = 0.01 mg/L air (irritation, ataxia, body weight loss)

Genotoxicity:

There is no evidence to suggest that technical Amitraz is of mutagenic potential.

Long term toxicity:

In chronic studies, Amitraz produced signs of neurotoxicity and, in mice, effects on the liver, pituitary, uterus and ovaries.

-Rat (oral, 2 yrs): NOAEL = 2.5 mg/kg bw/day, LOAEL = 10 mg/kg bw/day (decreased body weight gain, behavioral disturbances).

- Mouse (oral, 2 yrs): NOAEL = 2.3 mg/kg bw (male mice) and 2.6 mg/kg bw (female mice),LOAEL = 10 mg/kg bw/day (growth retardation, decreased food consumption, effects on behaviour, liver, pituitary and ovaries)

- Dog (oral capsule, 2 yrs): NOAEL = 0.25 mg/kg bw/day, LOAEL = 1 mg/kg bw/day (CNS depression)

Carcinogenicity:

Increase in liver tumours in mice (oral, 2 year study). Possibly non-genotoxic, species specific, relating

to disturbance in hormonal balance.

Reproductive toxicity:

Maternally toxic (languor, polypnoea and squinting) at all doses. Foetal effects noted in rats were dilated ureters and renal pelvic cavitation.

- Reproduction: NOAEL= 1.6 mg/kg bw/day (impaired lactation in dams due to impaired parental care) for leading to increased litter loss)
- Development: NOAEL = 7.5 mg/kg bw/day (increased dilated ureter, renal pelvic cavitation); NOAEL 6 mg/kg bw (total litter loss). No teratogenic potential, but foetotoxic at maternal toxic dose.

Neurotoxicity:

No specific studies of adequate quality available. Results indicate intoxication with weight loss, hyperactivity and increased aggressiveness.

Endocrine effects

Inconclusive evidence of oestrus cycle disturbance in mice.

Amitraz is an o2-receptor agonist and a number of the action of amitraz are due to central and peripheral o2adrenergic activity.

Safety values

EU Risk Assessment ADI = 0.0025 mg/kg bw/d EU Risk Assessment AOEL = 0.0025 mg/kg bw/d (systemic)

These values were both based on a long-term oral toxicity study in dogs with a NOAEL of 0.25 mg/kg/day and an uncertainty factor of 100.

1.8.3 Description of ecotoxicological properties of the chemical

<u>Soil</u>: Amitraz is broken down rapidly in soil containing oxygen. The half-life in soil is <0.33 day and DT90 values between 1 and 6 days. Degradation occurs more rapidly in acidic soils than in alkaline or neutral soils.

Water: Amitraz remained in the water column and was rapidly degraded with a half-life of 1.7–3.4 hours at 25°C.

Air: Neither amitraz or its degradation products are significantly released into the air from soil.

Ecotoxicology

Reproduction

•	Terrestrial	verte	brates

Acute toxicity Acute toxicity Reproduction Subchronic	Rat Mouse Rat Rat	$LD_{50} = 600$ mg/kg bw $LD_{50} = 100$ mg/kg bw (metabolite) NOAEC = 50 ppm NOAEC = 45 ppm
- Birds Acute toxicity Acute toxicity Acute toxicity	Bobwhite quail Bobwhite quail Bobwhite quail	LD_{50} = 788 mg/kg bw LD_{50} = 1827 mg/kg bw (metabolite) LD_{50} = 71 mg/kg bw (metabolite)
Dietary toxicity	Bobwhite quail	$LC_{50} = 1800 \text{ ppm}$
Dietary toxicity	Bobwhite quail	$LC_{50} = >5200 \text{ ppm}$ (metabolite)
Dietary toxicity	Bobwhite quail	$LC_{50} = 1362 \text{ ppm}$
Reproduction	Mallard duck	NOAEC= 40 ppm
Reproduction	Bobwhite quail	NOAEC= 100 ppm (metabolite)

NOAEC = 25 ppm (metabolite)

Mallard duck

TED/EA	O/PIC/FORM/1/E/5-04) Form - N	otification of final regulatory action to ban or severely restrict a chemical – page o
	• Aquatic species - Fish (96hrs) Bluegill sunfish (96hrs) Bluegill sunfish	$LC_{50} = 0.45 \text{ mg ai/L}$
	- Invertebrates (48hrs) Daphnia magna 28d Daphnia magna 28d Daphnia magna 28d Chironomus sp.	$EC_{50} = 1.05$ mg ai/L (immobilization) NOEC = 0.2 mg ai/L (reproduction) NOEC = <0.025 mg ai/L (mortality) NOEC = 2 mg ai/L
	 <u>Earthworm</u>: Eisenia foet <u>Honey bee</u>: Apis mellife 	$LD50 = 20 \mu g$ form/l (96h, acute oral)

PART II: FINAL REGULATORY ACTION

2.	FINAL REGULATOR	RY ACTION		
2.1	The chemical is:	heta banned	OR	☑ severely restricted
2.2	Information specific to	the final regulatory act	ion	
2.2.1	Summary of the final n	egulatory action		
				ducts containing amitraz. Amitraz is
				ex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. The
				and to be withdrawn by 12 August
		2004 no authorisations	for plant protection	on products containing amitraz could
	be granted or renewed.			
	Ton portoin promisi	aa fan anaaifia Maaalaa		to America to Committee to the
				he Annex to Commission Decision may be allowed (see point 2.5.2).
2.2.2	Reference to the regula		nui 30 June 2007	may be allowed (see polit 2.3.2).
	and the second s	titte mit British rette i tra regeriori hadi a igi i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	he non-inclusion c	of amitraz in Annex I to Council
				plant protection products containing
				f 17/02/2004, pp.35-37) (copy
		ole at : http://europa.eu.in		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
		046/I_04620040217en00		
2.2.3	Date of entry into force	of the final regulatory	action	
				amitraz had to be withdrawn by
	then with the exception	of certain essential uses a	s described in poir	at 2.5.2 below).

2.3 Was the final regulatory action based on a risk or hazard evaluation? \square Yes θ No If yes, give information on such evaluation

Directive 91/414/EEC provides for the Commission to carry out a programme of work for the examination of existing active substances used in plant protection products which were already on the market on 25 July 1993, with a view to their possible inclusion in Annex I of the Directive.

Within this context, a number of companies notified their wish to secure the inclusion of amitraz as an authorised active ingredient. A Member State was designated to undertake a hazard risk assessment based on the dossier submitted by the notifiers. The assessment report was subject to peer review during which the Commission undertook extensive consultations with experts of the Member States as well as with the main notifier. The results were then reviewed by the Member States and the Commission within the Standing Committee on Food Chain and Animal Health (SCFCAH).

The evaluation was based on a review of scientific data generated for amitraz in the context of the conditions prevailing in the European Community (intended uses, recommended application rates, good agricultural practices). Only data that have been generated according to scientifically recognised methods were validated and used for the evaluation. Moreover, data reviews were performed and documented according to generally recognised scientific principles and procedures.

Assessments made on the basis of the information submitted did not demonstrate that it may be expected that, under the proposed conditions of use, plant protection products containing amitraz satisfy in general the requirements laid down in Article 5(1)(a) and (b) of Directive 91/414/EEC. In particular, concerns were identified with regard to the acceptability of acute exposure of consumers in view of the possible neurological effects of the active substance.

Reference to the relevant documentation

Review report of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health at its meeting on 4 July 2003 for the active substance amitraz (10363/2003-final: 6 June 2003) (copy attached) and supporting background documents (dossier, monograph and the peer view report under the Peer Review Programme (ECCO, March 2000)

2.4	Reasons for the final regulatory action
2.4.1	Is the reason for the final regulatory action relevant to the human health? ∇ Yes θ No
	If you give summary of the known hazards and risks presented by the
	chemical to human health, including the health of consumers and workers
	Final regulatory action was taken to protect consumers from the potential neurological effects of acute
	exposure to amitraz.
	It had not been demonstrated for the proposed uses that consumers might not be exposed to amitraz exceeding the Acute Reference Dose, i.e. the estimate of the amount of the substance that can be exceeding the Acute Reference Dose, i.e. the estimate of the amount of the substance that can be
	exceeding the Acute Reference Dose, i.e. the estimate of the landam of the surface of the ingested over a short period of time without appreciable health risk to the consumer. A probabilistic ingested over a short period of time without appreciable health risk to the consumer. A probabilistic
	had to be taken into consideration that agreed
	c it intermediation of such a probabilistic risk assessment are not yet established. It was
	concluded that it would not be appropriate, in view of the possible risks, to delay a decision further
	until such criteria are agreed.
	The state of the section of the sect
a e term	Description of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health at its meeting on 4
	1 ruly 2002 for the active substance amitraz (10363/2003-Imal: 6 June 2003) (copy attached) and
Entropy State of the State of t	supporting background documents (dossier, monograph and the peer review report under the recr
	Review Programme (ECCO, March 2000)
1 1 59 1	Expected effect of the final regulatory action
Time Bryse Au nders An	Reduction of risk to consumers from plant protection products

2.4.2	Is the reason for the final regulatory action relevant to the environment?	☑ Yes	θNο
	If yes, give summary of the known hazards and risks to the environment During the evaluation of the risks to the environment some concerns were identify the approximation particular birds and mammals eating treated insects. The	ie illiai deci	STOTY OTT
	the non-inclusion in Annex I of Directive 91/414/EEC, however, was not based of Reference to the relevant documentation Review report of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health July 2003 for the active substance amitraz (10363/2003-final: 6 June 2003) (copy supporting background documents (dossier, monograph and the peer review reports).	l 'at its meeti / attached) a	ng on 4 and
	Review Programme (ECCO, March 2000) Expected effect of the final regulatory action Reduction of risk from plant protection uses.		-
	Reduction of risk from plant protection uses.		

2.5	Category or categories where the final regulatory action has been taken		
2.5.1	Final regulatory action has been taken for the chemical category	θ	Industrial
	Use or uses prohibited by the final regulatory action		
	Use or uses that remain allowed		
		,	

Final regulatory action	n has been taken for the chemical category 🔻 📝 Pe	sticide		
Formulation(s) and us	e or uses prohibited by the final regulatory action			
All the applications as p	plant protection products, except the essential uses listed below			
Formulation(s) and us	e or uses that remain allowed			
indicated, provided that		,		
(a) ensure that sucl match the restri	h plant protection products remaining on the market are relabelled cted use and conditions;	in order to		
(b) impose all appr	opriate risk mitigation measures to reduce any possible risks in order	er to ensure		
the protection o	the protection of human and animal health and the environment; and			
(c) ensure that alter particular by me	ernative products or methods for such uses are being seriously eans of action plans.	sought, in		
the EC Member States r of existing stocks that n	es, for which existing authorisations had to be withdrawn by 12 Aumay grant a period of grace for disposal, storage, placing on the markust expire no later than 12 August 2005. For essential uses that can June 2007, the grace period for disposal etc of the existing stocks increased.	ket and use		
List of essential uses th	at may continue to be authorised			
Member States	<u>Use</u>			
Greece	Cotton			
The Netherlands	Tree nursery			
	Strawberry (only propagating material)			
	Pear trees after harvest			
United Kingdom	Pear trees after harvest			

2000年1月12日 - 1942年 -	tity per year (I	oorted, export VIT)		Year
Produced		2000-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00	A 100 HORSE COUNTY IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	
	•		'	
imported	 			
(File Berting and Angles State S				
Exported				

Pear trees after harvest

United Kingdom Portugal

		88 (108 1010 to 88 1100 to	urulua (8.1) - Teograf Kir Johnson el	1 Conservation Security and Con-	hannan hijidanan			
2.6 In	dication, to the ex	tent possible,	, of the likely rele	vance of the fin:	ıl regu	latory ac	tion to	other
	ites and regions							Santa Andr
Ş1	milar concerns to	those identif	fied could arise in	n other countrie	s whe	e the su	bstance	is used,
pa	rticularly develop	ing countrie	S.	•				
	•				.*		•	

		O/PIC/FORM/1/E/5-04) Form - Notification of final regulatory action to ban or severely restrict a chemical - page 10
	2.7	Other relevant information that may cover:
	2.7.1	Assessment of socio-economic effects of the final regulatory action
		
•		
•		
		To sometion on alternatives and their relative risks
	2.7.2	Information on alternatives and their relative risks
100		
N .		
	1	Relevant additional information
	2.7.3	Relevant additional information
	L .	1

PART III: GOVERNMENT AUTHORITIES

Ministry/Department and	l authority responsible for issuing/enforcing the final regulatory action
Institution	European Commission
Address	Rue de la Loi, 200 B-1049 Brussels Belgium
Telephone	+322 299 48 60
Telefax	+322 296 76 17
E-mail address	klaus.berend@cec.eu.int
	Designated National Authority
Institution	DG Environment European Commission
Address	Rue de la Loi, 200 B-1049 Brussels Belgium
Name of person in charge	Klaus BEREND .
Position of person in charge	Deputy Head of Unit
Telephone	+322 299 48 60
Telefax	+322 296 76 17
E-mail address	klaus.berend@cec.eu.int

19.11.04

Date, signature of DNA and official seal:

Colling //