



**United Nations
Environment Programme**



**Food and Agriculture Organization
of the United Nations**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/FAO/PIC/ICRC.2/INF.5
12 March 2001

ENGLISH ONLY

INTERIM CHEMICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE

Second session

Rome, 19 – 23 March 2001

OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES FOR THE INTERIM CHEMICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE –
PROCESS FOR DRAFTING DECISION GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS


Note by the secretariat

1. Attached to this note find an excerpt from decision INC-7/6, setting out the process for drafting of decision guidance documents as adopted by the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee at its seventh session.

Appendix

A. Process for drafting decision guidance documents

Flow chart



B. Explanatory notes to the process for drafting decision guidance documents

1. Decision guidance documents for banned and severely restricted chemicals

Once the format for a decision guidance document is established, it would facilitate the task of the secretariat to forward the notifications and accompanying documentation, based on the information contained in the notifications of final regulatory action (as per annex I and II).

The Interim Chemical Review Committee must deem a notification valid prior to developing a decision guidance document. It is thus important that there be clear guidance as to what constitutes an acceptable/valid notification in order that the secretariat could undertake to prepare the documentation mentioned above.

Where the information is deemed insufficient, the secretariat would be responsible to follow up with the notifying party. The document would not be brought to the Interim Chemical Review Committee until the relevant information had been provided.

In situations where it is unclear, the secretariat would seek guidance from the Interim Chemical Review Committee.

(1)* Where the information in the notification was deemed sufficient, the secretariat would forward the notifications and accompanying documentation to the experts of the Interim Chemical Review Committee (2) for an initial round of comment. An Interim Chemical Review Committee task group would be established. The secretariat would collate the comments into a tabular format and forward them to the task group.

(3) The task group would incorporate comments, as appropriate, indicating those comments taken up and those which were not and why.

The task group would present the notifications and the accompanying documentation to the Interim Chemical Review Committee along with the tabular summary of comments. The Interim Chemical Review Committee will decide whether to make a recommendation to include the chemical in the PIC procedure, and develop an internal proposal for a decision guidance document.

(4) The internal proposal (and the tabular summary of comments) is then circulated to the Interim Chemical Review Committee and its observers for information and comments. Any comments would be directed to the secretariat, which would prepare a tabular summary for the review by the task group.

(5) The task group would incorporate comments from the Interim Chemical Review Committee and its observers on the internal proposal and prepare a draft decision guidance document.

(6) This draft decision guidance document is distributed as a meeting document for discussion at an Interim Chemical Review Committee meeting (in six languages) for finalization and approval.

(7) The Interim Chemical Review Committee forwards the recommendation and draft decision guidance document to the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee for decision. The final documentation forwarded by the secretariat to all Parties and observers in advance of the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee session would include the draft decision guidance document, the Interim Chemical Review Committee recommendation for inclusion in the PIC procedure, a summary of the Interim Chemical Review Committee deliberations including a rationale based on the criteria listed in annex II, as well as the tabular summary of comments received under step 4 and how they were addressed.

Regional coordination by members of the Interim Chemical Review Committee in preparing and providing comments is encouraged.

* Numbers refer to steps in the flow chart.

2. Decision guidance documents for severely hazardous pesticide formulations

Once the format for a decision guidance document is established, it would facilitate the task of the secretariat to forward the proposal and accompanying documentation, based on the information contained in the proposal and the additional information collected by the secretariat in accordance with annex IV, Part 2.

The Interim Chemical Review Committee must deem the proposal valid prior to developing a decision guidance document. It is thus important that there be clear guidance as to what constitutes an acceptable/valid proposal in order that the secretariat could undertake to prepare the documentation mentioned above.

Where the information is deemed insufficient, the secretariat would be responsible to follow up with the proposing party. The document would not be brought to the Interim Chemical Review Committee until the relevant information had been provided.

In situations where it is unclear, the secretariat would seek guidance from the Interim Chemical Review Committee.

(1) * Where the information in the proposal was deemed sufficient, the secretariat would collect the information in part 2 of annex IV from designated national authorities and non-governmental organizations and forward the proposal and accompanying documentation to the experts of the Interim Chemical Review Committee (2) for an initial round of comment. An Interim Chemical Review Committee task group would be established. The secretariat would collate the comments into a tabular format and forward them to the task group.

(3) The task group would incorporate comments, as appropriate, indicating those comments taken up and those which were not and why.

The task group would present the proposal and the accompanying documentation to the Interim Chemical Review Committee along with the tabular summary of comments. The Interim Chemical Review Committee will decide whether to make a recommendation to include the pesticide formulation in the PIC procedure, and develop an internal proposal for a decision guidance document.

(4) The internal proposal (and the tabular summary of comments) is then circulated to the Interim Chemical Review Committee and its observers for information and comments. Any comments would be directed to the secretariat, which would prepare a tabular summary for the review by the task group.

(5) The task group would incorporate comments from the Interim Chemical Review Committee and its observers on the internal proposal and prepare a draft decision guidance document.

(6) This draft decision guidance document is distributed as a meeting document for discussion at an Interim Chemical Review Committee meeting (in six languages) for finalization and approval.

(7) The Interim Chemical Review Committee forwards the recommendation and draft decision guidance document to the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee for decision. The final documentation forwarded by the secretariat to all Parties and observers in advance of the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee session would include the draft decision guidance document, the Interim Chemical Review Committee recommendation for inclusion in the PIC procedure, a summary of the Interim Chemical Review Committee deliberations including a rationale based on the criteria listed in annex II, as well as the tabular summary of comments received under step 4 and how they were addressed.

Regional coordination by members of the Interim Chemical Review Committee in preparing and providing comments is encouraged.

* Numbers refer to steps in the flow chart.